

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 196 091

CS 503 197

AUTHOR Decker, Warren: Rainey, Daniel
TITLE Terrorism as Communication.
PUB DATE Nov 80
NOTE 18p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the
Speech Communication Association (66th, New York, NY,
November 13-16, 1980) .

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Audiences: *Communication Research; Interpersonal
Relationship; *Mass Media; News Reporting; *Research
Needs: *Revolution; Television: *Violence
IDENTIFIERS Audience Response: *Terrorism

ABSTRACT

Terrorism has become not merely a political act, but a carefully designed and rhetorically sophisticated attempt at communication. What role should the communication scholar play in the investigation of terrorism? Specifically, there are six areas within which the communication scholar may actively contribute to an understanding of terrorism as communication: (1) mass media, (2) applied communication, (3) small group/interpersonal communication, (4) rhetoric, (5) intercultural communication, and (6) the ethics of communication. Impact of terrorist coverage upon the general audience, and impact on other terrorists must be considered. Research may indicate that audiences are incited to panic or that other terrorists are incited to further violence. Small group and interpersonal communication research is needed to study the relationship between hostages, terrorists, and mediators and to determine options available in negotiations. Terrorism occurring in other countries, but which is aimed at audiences in the United States, presents peculiar problems to scholars in intercultural communication. Finally, the morality of media coverage of terrorist violence and self or government regulation is an area of discussion wide open to contribution by communication research. (HTH)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED196091

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

TERRORISM AS COMMUNICATION

Warren Decker

Daniel Rainey

George Mason University

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Warren Decker

Daniel Rainey

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Presented at

The Speech Communication Association
National Convention

November 13, 1980

New York, New York

CS583197

Terrorism as a tool of political groups is not in its infancy. From the Khan's annihilation of reluctant villages, to the simple murder of Russian Grand Dukes, the notion of striking fear into the hearts of the powerful has been popular and often used. In this age of the electronic "global village" the target of terrorism has been subtly shifted from those in power to those who, through the mass media, are made the audience of the terrorist act. Concomitantly, the motives for committing acts of terrorist violence have shifted.

From acts intended to frighten those with power to change the status quo, terrorism has somewhat paramorphetically changed into statements designed to engender support or draw the attention of masses of viewers and hearers. Thus terrorism has become not merely a political act, but a carefully designed and rhetorically sophisticated attempt at communication. Increasingly, terrorists have eschewed simple killing and destruction of property in favor of acts which capture the attention, imagination, and possibly the support of an audience. As Jan Schreiber argues:

The more one considers terrorism as a phenomenon, the less it resembles other forms of violence and the more it looks like a form of communication. There is a "speaker" (the terrorist), an "audience" (the primary victim and all the other onlookers in the world), and a "language" (the threat of violence against an innocent party).¹

Adding force to the terrorist "coup de theatre"² is the conduit supplied by the pervasive system of mass media communication through which we gather information concerning everything from the weather to world politics. As Robert Friedlander insists:

The communications media, whether consciously or otherwise, has well served the terrorist cause. Political terrorism is now viewed as an instant means of communication and is aided and abetted by contemporary technology, utilizing the dramatic force of the mass media.³

¹Jan Schreiber, Terrorists and World Order (New York: Morrow and Company, Inc., 1978), p. 113.

²Robert A. Friedlander, "Coping with Terrorism: What is to be Done?", in Terrorism: Theory and Practice, edited by Yonah Alexander, David Carlton, and Paul Wilkinson; Westview Special Studies in National and International Terrorism (Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, 1979), p. 236.

³Ibid., pp. 236-237.

As scholars intent upon analyzing and understanding the elements, processes, and effects of all forms of communication interaction, we must begin to ask ourselves a very fundamental question: What role should the communication scholar play in the investigation of terrorism as an attempt to communicate radical ideological arguments to an audience?

It is this question upon which we wish to focus our discussion today. Specifically, there are six areas within which the communication scholar may actively contribute to an understanding of terrorism as communication: 1) mass communication, 2) applied communication, 3) small group/interpersonal communication, 4) rhetoric, 5) intercultural communication, and 6) the ethics of communication. As a prelude to the panel's discussion, we will consider possible topics for consideration in each research area.

While much of our information on terrorist activity is not complete, there are at least two solid "facts" upon which any scholar may rely: the terrorist is going to continue to be active, and the mass media will continue to provide a forum for that activity.

As a rule, the mass media in the United States has been reluctant to severely curtail its coverage of terrorist acts for reasons of a philosophical-legal nature, as well as the fact that

terrorism makes good headlines and provides interesting video tape for news broadcasts. As Desmond Maberley, of Reuters news service, explains:

We think all major events must be reported. We would only withhold facts if doing so will save lives. We will listen to requests to postpone distribution of certain facts in order to save lives but reserve the right to make the decision ourselves.⁴

Added to the media's conviction that terrorism is legitimately "newsworthy" is the history of First Amendment freedom afforded the press in this country. In testimony before the Subcommittee on Civil and Constitutional Rights, Deputy Attorney General Benjamin Civiletti:

emphasized that terrorist incidents are legitimate news events and that the First Amendment prevents

⁴Desmond Maberley, Reuters, in Staff Report, Subcommittee on Civil and Constitutional Rights, Federal Capabilities in Crisis Management and Terrorism (December 1978), p. 84.

the government from imposing a "prior restraint" on the media's coverage of a terrorist incident.⁵

Given the fact that both the government and the media are ill-at-ease with the notion of imposing restrictive regulations with regard to coverage of terrorist activity, the question of possible effects from coverage of the terrorist activity looms large indeed.

There are at least two areas of possible impact which come immediately to the forefront of our discussion. First, there is the question of the impact upon a general audience. For example, does the middle class American consumer of television news, with a limited knowledge of most of the terrorist groups active today, feel moved to sympathy for the terrorist? Does he instead feel moved to reprisals against the terrorist group? Is he not moved at all? The question is not an easy one with which to deal. On the one hand it is argued that:

Members of the public, following newspaper or television reports of an airplane hijacking or the kidnapping of a diplomat, ordinarily identify with the victims. They do so for the very good reason that reporters concentrate on the victims' point of view.⁶

⁵Maberley, p. 15.

⁶Schreiber, p. 41.

On the other hand, some argue that the terrorist himself becomes a "victim" and therefore the object of the viewing audience's pity. Schreiber argues:

Unless the crowd — the international media audience — has been moved to ecstasies of bloodthirsty patriotism, it is not likely to turn thumbs down on the victim /terrorists/ when the well-paid, well-trained and well-armed minions move in for the kill. Recognizing this, the terrorist knows that it is in his interest to be seen as the underdog and even to lose a few battles with superior forces.⁷

Scholars in the field of communication may discover, through rigorous research, what reactions are likely to be triggered in a given situation by media coverage of terrorist violence.

The second "effects" question has to do with the impact of media-covered terrorism on other terrorists who are, if only temporarily, members of the audience. Bluntly put, does the splashing of terrorist violence across the television screens of the world stimulate more terrorist activity? Here, again, we find that the research to date has left the question begging for an answer. Evans and Murphy argue:

⁷Schreiber, p. 80.

The role of the mass media in combating terrorism remains largely unclarified. Yet, undeniably, . . . publicity and "armed propaganda" constitute an important element of terrorism today.⁸

Contrary to this position is the conviction enunciated by Maberley:

It is a matter of opinion whether extremists specifically use the media to get publicity for their views or to advance their causes. Violence on behalf of a cause existed long before the media got into the act. On this basis, what is unchallengeable, we think, is that extremists use force as an instrument of policy and would continue to do so ever if there were no media for them to exploit.⁹

The contradictory viewpoints expressed in relation to the media's power to generate terrorism from exposure given to terrorist activity, as well as other issues cited earlier, serve to underline the inchoate nature of research in the realm of terrorism as communication.

⁸Alona Evans and John Murphy, Legal Aspects of International Terrorism, 1978, p. 437.

⁹Maberley, p. 84.

Heretofore we have spoken exclusively of the relationship between terrorism in its communicative role and the mass media systems which disseminate the terrorist's messages. There are, however, other areas in which communication scholars may make contributions.

Communicologists in applied communication research, small group communication, and interpersonal communication could easily join forces with sociologists and psychologists to study the relationship between the various participants in the terrorist act — the hostages, the terrorists, and the negotiating agency. Much work is needed to determine the options available to those negotiating with hostages, what decision making process to use in formulating responses, etc. What are the dynamics of the communication between the hostages, the terrorists, the press, and the legal authorities? These questions and many others are open for research.

One primary function of governmental authorities must be to counteract arguments made by the terrorists in the course of their activity. For instance, the United States government must either confess to sins of the past and admit that the Iranian terrorists are waging a just offensive against us, or offer counter-arguments to bolster the confidence of the American

public and attract supporters abroad. In this endeavor scholars of rhetoric and argumentation theory may offer valuable insights. Working with political scientists and members of the government, communication scholars may indicate which rhetorical strategies will be most effective and which should be avoided.

X Terrorism occurring in another country, but which is aimed at an American audience, presents peculiar problems which may be addressed by scholars in intercultural communication. Is it indeed possible for a media audience from one culture to understand in any fundamental way the urges which lead to terrorism in another culture? If not, then is there some step which should be taken to minimize the frustration which must accompany failure on the part of the terrorists? If the result of such frustration is to encourage the terrorists to engage in ever more outrageous and destructive acts of terrorist violence, the question could indeed be of a most urgent nature.

Ultimately, of course, we are drawn to the ethical responsibility of the scholar who engages in research in terrorism as communication. If we find that coverage of terrorism by the media, for instance, does in fact lead to more acts of terrorism, and that terrorism does indeed play an effective role in achieving specific political goals, we must

decide whom to inform. That information is not merely another piece of intellectual minutiae to add to the often obscure and marginally useful stock of research findings. It is, in a very basic sense, an invitation for more violence, more terrorism, more attempts to achieve a coup de theatre. Such findings could also fuel the impulse to control the mass media's power to freely cover terrorist activity, thereby conjuring up questions of First Amendment rights afforded to our free press.

Finally, we are confronted by the demands of every communicative act — we must respond. Three possible responses to terrorist violence come immediately to mind. We may maintain the status quo, characterized by self-regulation of the media.¹⁰ We may seek to curtail the coverage of terrorism by the media in a legal manner and systematize the governmental responses to the acts. And, from the most controversial perspective, we may guarantee access for all terrorists and potential terrorists to assure that the media stage will be

¹⁰ The status quo restrictions on coverage of terrorism are simply extensions of the willingness of newspaper editors to self-censor information which they perceive to be of a potentially harmful nature, and the statements found in the NAB code regarding the "due care" which must be taken in covering potentially dangerous situations. See Evans and Murphy, p. 441, and Schreiber, p. 115.

available without the necessity to commit overt acts of violence simply to gain access. It is with these questions and one final observation with which we wish to open this discussion.

Much of the research into terrorism is done, but not disseminated by the parties doing the research. Much could be gained by releasing this information to the academic community. Studies could be validated by scholarly research, and new ideas could be discussed freely to assure that the responsible agencies are operating with the best possible information. That research which has been popularly disseminated is often of poor quality. Many of the sources cited in this introduction are based on speculation and offer "facts" which border on innuendo and even fantasy. It is urgent that true research begin in earnest.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

BOOKS

- Boston, Guy D., Kevin O'Brien and Joanne Palumbo. Terrorism: A Selected Bibliography. 2nd Ed. Washington: Dept. of Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, 1977.
- Burton, Anthony M. Urban Terrorism: Theory, Practice and Response. New York: Free Press, 1975.
- Clutterbuck, Richard L. Living with Terrorism. New York: Arlington House, 1975.
- Demaris, Ovid. Brothers in Blood: The International Terrorist Network. New York: Scribner, 1977.
- Dobson, Christopher and Ronald Payne. The Carlos Complex: A Study in Terror. New York: Putnam, 1977.
- Fulton, Arthur B. Countermeasures to Combat Terrorism at Major Events. Washington: U.S. Dept. of State, 1976.
- Gregory, Frank. Protest and Violence: The Police Response. London: Institute for the Study of Conflict, 1976.
- Hacker, Frederick J. Crusaders, Criminals, Crazies: Terror and Terrorism in Our Time. New York: Norton, 1976.
- Halperin, Ernst. Terrorism in Latin America. Beverly Hills, Calif.: Sage, 1976.
- Honderich, Ted. Political Violence. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press, 1977.
- International Terrorism and World Security: Proceedings, edited by David Carlton and Carlo Schaerf. New York: Wildy, 1975.

International Terrorism: National, Regional, and Global Perspectives, edited by Yonah Alexander. New York: Praeger, 1976.

Laqueur, Walter. Terrorism. Boston: Little, Brown, 1977.

Lineberry, William P., ed. The Struggle Against Terrorism. New York: H. W. Wilson, 1977.

Parry, Albert. Terrorism from Robespierre to Arafat. New York: Vanguard Press, 1976.

Schreiber, Jan. Terrorists and World Order. New York: Morrow, 1978.

Piasetzki, J. Peter. Urban Guerilla Warfare and Terrorism: A Selected Bibliography. Monticello, Ill.: Council of Planning Librarians, 1976.

United States. Central Intelligence Agency. Annotated Bibliography on Transnational and International Terrorism. Washington: The Agency, 1976.

United States. Central Intelligence Agency Office of Political Research. International and Transnational Terrorism: Diagnosis and Prognosis. Washington: The Agency, 1976.

United States. National Advisory Committee on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals. Task Force on Disorders and Terrorism. Disorders and Terrorism: Report of the Task Force. Washington: The Advisory Committee, 1976.

Watson, Francis M. Political Terrorism: The Threat and the Response. Washington: R. B. Luce Company, 1976.

Wilkinson, Paul. Terrorism Versus Liberal Democracy: The Problems of Response. London: Institute for the Study of Conflict, 1976.

PERIODICALS

- "All the Inefficiencies of Any Intelligence Service — the Biggest Threat is Terrorism." Armed Forces Journal International. 11:46-48, October 1973.
- Beres, Louis R. "Terrorism and the Nuclear Threat in the Middle East." Current History. 70:27-31, January 1976.
- Berry, F. Clinton Jr. "Crime May Not Pay, But Terrorism Has — So Far." Armed Forces Journal. 113:18-19, August 1976.
- Bowden, Tom. "The IRA (Irish Republican Army) and the Changing Tactics of Terrorism." Political Quarterly. 47:425-437, October/December 1976.
- Cohen, Bernard L. "The Potentialities of Terrorism." Bulletin of Atomic Scientists. 32:34-35, June 1976.
- Elliott, Maj. John D. "International Terrorism: Threat to U. S. Security." Armed Forces Journal International. 114:38-39, September 1976.
- Fromkin, David. "The Strategy of Terrorism (As a Political Tool)." Foreign Affairs. 53:683-698, July 1975.
- Krieger, David. "What Happens If . . . ? Terrorists, Revolutionaries, and Nuclear Weapons." American Academy of Political and Social Science Annals. 430:44, March 1977.
- Laqueur, Walter. "The Continuing Failure of Terrorism." Harper's. 253:69-72, November 1976.
- "Latest Worry: Terrorists Using High Technology." U. S. News and World Report. 82:69, March 14, 1977.
- Mickolus, Edward F. "Negotiating for Hostages: A Policy Dilemma." Orbis. 19:1309-1325, Winter 1976.
- Pierre, Andrew J. "The Politics of International Terrorism." Orbis. 19:1251-1269, Winter 1976.

Rosenbaum, David M. "Nuclear Terror." International Security. 1:140-161, Winter 1977.

Russell, Charles A. and Capt. Bowman H. Miller. "Profile of a Terrorist." Military Review. 57:21-34, August 1977.

Sulzberger, C. L. "The Antiterrorist League." New York Times. 39, April 14, 1976.

"Thwarting Terrorism: International Terrorism Has Emerged in Its Full Odious Degeneracy." Alternative. 10:22-24.

Wolf, John B. "Controlling Political Terrorism in a Free Society." Orbis. 19:1289-1308, Winter 1976.

CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS

U. S. Congress. House Judiciary Committee. Implementing International Conventions Against Terrorism. House Report 94-1614, September 18, 1976. 94th Cong., 2nd Session. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1977.

U. S. Congress. Senate Judiciary Committee. Implementing International Conventions Against Terrorism. Senate Report. 94-1273, September 26, 1976. 94th Congress, 2nd. Session. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1976.

U. S. Congress. Senate Judiciary Terroristic Activity. Interlock Between Communism and Terrorism, Part 9. Hearing before the Subcommittee on Internal Security, May 7, 1976. 94th Congress, 2nd Session. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1976.

- U. S. Congress. Senate Judiciary Committee. Terrorism and Its Victim. Hearings, July 21, 1977. 94th Congress, 1st Session. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1977.
- U. S. Congress. Senate Judiciary Committee. Terroristic Activity: Terrorist Bombings and Law Enforcement Intelligence, Part 7. Hearing before the Subcommittee on Internal Security, October 23, 1975. 94th Congress, 1st Session. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1975.